

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary	II
Thanks	III
Lexical	V
Table of contents	XV
Lists of frames, graphs, schemes and tables	XXIII
Foreword	XXIX
General introduction: Studying the elderly family care evolution in belgium:	
Why? What? How?	1
Why to study the determinants and the evolution of elderly family care?	2
What is the focus of the dissertation research?	6
How is the dissertation structured?	7
Chapter I: The concept of care and the life course theoretical framework	9
Section 1. The concept of elderly family care	10
A. Emergence of ‘informal care’ as a social policy object	10
B. The development of elderly family care research	11
C. How to define ‘elderly family care’?	12
1. A definition on ‘itself’	12
2. A definition by comparison	13
3. The use of ‘care’ and its cognates	14
D. Understanding family solidarities	14
E. A consequence of complexity: the possible gap between perceived, declared and effective care	16
F. How to identify and measure elderly family care in quantitative surveys?	18
Section 2. The Life Course approach	20
A. The Life Course as a theoretical framework	21
1. A holistic and interdisciplinary approach	21
2. Glen Elder as ‘the’ thinker of the sociological variant of the ‘Life Course’ approach	22
3. The principles of the Life Course approach according to Glen Elder	23
B. Using the Life Course approach to study the Elderly family care evolution	24
Conclusion	27
Chapter II: State of the art on elderly family care determinants	29
Section 1. Systematic literature review	30
A. Literature review methodology	30
1. A qualitative review of quantitative studies	30
2. Articles selection	31
B. Results of the systematic search	33
1. Who is receiving family care?	43
2. Who is providing family care?	43
3. How do the family care characteristics evolve over time?	44
C. Discussion on research design effects	46
1. A first general design bias: studying elderly population living at home and having potential family care-givers	46
2. Illustration of the impact of other research designs on the answer to the question ‘who is providing care’?	47
3. The selection criteria may produce a ‘cultural bias’	49
Section 2. Additional literature	50
A. Classifying the care determinants through the behavioural model	50

B. Informal-formal care articulation theories	51
Conclusion	54
Chapter III: The historical and demographic contexts of the study cohorts 1900-1929	55
Section 1. Growing up during World Wars and the Interwar crisis: the common past experiences	57
A. The early years	57
B. Grown up in a context of World War(s) and economic crisis	59
C. Brought up in traditional and gender-specific principles	62
1. Catholic education at school and at home	62
2. School attainment and professional careers: gendered patterns	63
D. Parenthood in the Post-War period	65
Section 2. Getting old after the regionalisation: the differential development of elderly care policies	68
A. What do the birth cohorts 1900-1929 expect for their old days?	68
B. Where do the elderly actually live?	70
C. The elderly care policies of the 1990s-2000s: their complexity and origins	71
1. The Complexity	71
2. The origins of the home elderly care services in Belgium	72
D. Elderly home care ‘facilities’ in the 1990s-2000s: description and regional orientation	74
1. The development of a care insurance scheme	75
2. Home care services	78
3. Leaves	78
Conclusion	80
Chapter IV: Informal care in Belgian surveys	83
Section 1. How have the surveys been selected?	84
A. The survey as unit of interest	84
B. Methodology and selection criteria	84
1. Search method	84
2. Survey selection criteria	85
Section 2. Which are the selected surveys?	86
A. Surveys on a national representative population	87
1. The Eurobarometer surveys (EB 1992, 1999, 2002, 2007)	87
2. Panel Study of Belgian Households (PSBH 1992-2002)	89
3. European Community Household Panel (ECHP 1994-2002)	90
4. Census 2001	91
5. Health Interview Survey (HIS 2004, 2008)	92
6. Survey of Health Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE 2004-2006)	94
7. Labour Force Survey (LFS 2005)	97
8. Gender and Generation Panel Study (GGPS 2008/09)	98
B. Sub-national surveys	100
1. <i>Solidarités sociales et santé des aînés</i> (CRIV° 1992)	100
2. <i>Leefsituatie onderzoek bij Vlaamse Ouderen</i> (LOVO 2001/02)	103
3. <i>Zorg in Vlaanderen</i> (ZVE° 2003) and <i>Mantelzorg in Vlaanderen</i> (MVE° 2003)	105
4. <i>Écouter les aidants proches pour mieux les soutenir</i> (FRB/KBS° 2006)	107
5. <i>Sociaal-culturele verschuivingen in Vlaanderen</i> (SCV 2008)	109
C. Methodological and technical information	109
Section 3. How does the elderly care operationalisation vary across surveys?	113
A. The point of view: informal provided care, received care or both	113
B. Potential versus effective informal care providers	118
C. The scope of the care situation	119

Section 4. How those surveys contribute to answer the three main research questions?	120
A. Preliminary remarks	120
1. The unit of observation	120
2. Socio-demographic characteristics and gender of the care-receiver	123
B. Who is receiving family care?	124
C. What are the characteristics of family care?	126
1. The spouse as first and preferred informal care provider, children arrive in second place	126
2. Children, especially sons, are more likely to care for IADL	128
3. Children having competing roles care less often and less intensively	129
4. Articulation between family care and home care services	130
5. Regional differences in family care characteristics	132
D. How do the characteristics of provided family care evolve over time?	133
Conclusion	135
Inter-part I: Theoretical scheme	139
STEP 1. Drawing the theoretical scheme coming from the systematic literature review	139
STEP 2. Integrating the behavioural model	141
STEP 3. Integrating the articulation between family care and care services	142
STEP 4. Accounting for the specificity of family care	145
STEP 5. Integrating the Life Course principles	146
Chapter V: The 1,123 elderly of the PSBH	149
Section 1. The PSBH (household) sample	150
A. Selection & participation at the baseline (1992)	150
B. The questionnaires	152
1. Contact sheet	152
2. Household questionnaire	152
3. Adult questionnaire	152
4. Children questionnaire	153
5. Added modules	153
C. External validity	153
D. Follow-up and attrition	154
1. Follow-up rules	154
2. Attrition	154
Section 2. Limitations of the PSBH characteristics on the study of family care evolution	156
A. Due to the sample definition	156
1. The 'household' as sample unit	156
2. A sample restricted to 'private' households	157
3. A 'general' survey	157
B. Effect of panel specific features	158
1. Advantages of the panel data	158
2. Specific issues and problems of panel data	158
C. Differences between the general population and the PSBH effective sample	159
Section 3. The study (elderly) sample	160
A. Selection	160
B. Questions used	164
C. External validation	164
1. Objective and material used for the validation	164
2. Region	165
3. Gender	165
4. Birth cohort	166
5. Education level	167

6. Marital status	168
D. Follow-up and attrition	169
1. Measure of the study sample attrition	169
2. Comparison of the characteristics of attritors and non-attritors	171
3. Controlling for attrition status	176
Conclusion	178
Chapter VI: Family care aspects operationalisation	179
Section 1. Why building a carelines file to study the evolution of family care over the PSBH waves?	180
Section 2. Building the carelines file	183
A. Identifying the spouse and cohabiting children through the contact sheet and the non-cohabiting children through the adult questionnaire	183
1. Standardisation of the contact sheet	183
2. Selection of the potential cohabiting care-givers	184
3. Count of the potential non-cohabiting care-givers	185
4. Number of potential care-givers	186
B. Structure of the carelines file	188
Section 3. Attributing the individual care responsibility	190
A. Variables used for identifying the provided care	190
1. Care provided by the spouse and cohabiting children	190
2. Care provided by the non-cohabiting children	192
B. Differences in the care questions and imputation justification	193
C. Imputation rules	195
1. Use of a proxy for cohabiting care-givers	195
2. Between-waves imputation	195
D. Addition of the care information to the carelines file	196
Section 4. Operationalisation of the concepts of family care	198
A. 'Wave level' care variables	198
1. The care-giver types (scheme question 2.2)	198
2. Family care intensity (question 2.3)	199
3. Receiving family care (question 1.2) and care-givers/care services combination (question 2.1)	200
B. 'Elderly level' care variables (questions 0.1 to 1.1)	202
Conclusion	204
Chapter VII: The determinants of the family care aspects	205
Section 1. Operationalisation methodology	206
A. The relationship with family care	206
B. Presentation and potential recoding of the selected variables	206
C. Imputation of the independent variables	208
D. Validation	210
Section 2. Predisposing factors of family care	211
A. Gender	211
1. Relationship with family care	211
2. Construction of the variable	212
3. First description of the variable	212
4. Validation	213
B. Birth cohort	215
1. Relationship with family care	215
2. Construction of the variable	216
3. First description of the variable	217
4. Validation	217

C. Religiosity	219
1. Relationship with family care	219
2. Construction of the variable	219
3. Imputation of the missing data and first description of the variable	220
4. Validation	221
D. Education level	222
1. Relationship with family care	222
2. Construction of the variable	222
3. Imputation of the missing data and first description of the variable	223
4. Internal and association validity	223
E. Last occupational status	225
1. Relationship with family care	225
2. Construction of the variable	225
3. Imputation of the missing data and first description of the variable	226
4. Validation	227
F. Region	228
1. Relationship with family care	228
2. Construction of the variable	229
3. First description of the variable	229
4. Validation	229
G. Urbanisation level	231
1. Relationship with family care	231
2. Construction of the variable	231
3. Imputation of the missing data and first description of the variable	232
4. Validation	233
Section 3. Needs factors	235
A. Subjective health status	235
1. Relationship with family care	235
2. Construction of the variable	235
3. Imputation of the missing data and first description of the variable	235
4. Validation	236
B. Disability	238
1. Relationship with family care	238
2. Construction of the variable	238
3. Imputation of the missing data and first description of the variable	239
4. Validation	239
C. Recent hospitalisation	241
1. Relationship with family care	241
2. Construction of the variable	241
3. Imputation of the missing data and first description of the variable	242
4. Validation	242
Section 4. Enabling factors	243
A. Partnership status	243
1. Relationship with family care	243
2. Construction of the variable	243
3. Imputation of the missing data and first description of the variable	244
4. Validation	245
B. Number of children	247
1. Relationship with family care	247
2. Construction of the variable	248
3. First description of the number of children and of the daughters and sons variables	248
4. Validation	250

C. Geographical proximity of the closest child	252
1. Relationship with family care	252
2. Construction of the variable	252
3. First description of the closest child proximity	253
4. Validation	254
D. Economic resources	255
1. Relationship with family care	255
2. Construction of the variable	255
3. Imputation of the missing data and first description of the variable	256
4. Internal and association validity	256
Conclusion	259
Inter-part II: Operationalisation of the hypotheses	263
Chapter VIII: Ever received care	267
Section 1. Who has ever received care services?	268
A. Localisation of the dependent variable in the sample scheme	268
B. Multiple correspondence analysis on the 1,123 elderly respondents	269
C. Logistic regression on ‘having ever received care services’	271
1. Preliminary verifications	273
2. Binary logistic regression analysis	275
D. Hypothesis to test: care services substitution for the IADL	279
Section 2. Who has ever received family care?	281
A. Localisation of the dependent variable in the sample scheme and excluded observations	282
B. Multiple correspondence analysis on the 1,008 family-members elderly	284
C. Logistic regression on ‘ever received care’	285
1. Preliminary verifications	285
2. Multinomial logistic regression analysis	286
D. Hypothesis to test: predisposing factors effect	291
Conclusion	294
Chapter IX: Family care aspects	295
Section 1. Wave level analysis methodology	296
Section 2. What are the main determinants of the care combination?	302
A. Description	302
1. Half of the elderly received at least once mixed care	302
2. Care services used to complement family care	303
B. Multiple correspondence analysis on the 999 elderly ‘at risk’ of receiving family care	304
C. Logistic regressions on the ‘care combination’	307
1. Preliminary verifications	307
2. Multinomial logistic regression analysis	307
D. Hypotheses to test	310
1. Ageing hypothesis	310
2. Family solidarity hypothesis	310
3. Hierarchical compensation hypothesis	311
4. Regional differences hypothesis	312
Section 3. Who receives care from the spouse? From the daughter(s)? From the son(s)?	313
A. Description	314
1. Children care is more frequently ‘declared’ without gender differences	314
2. Spousal care is declared when the care intensity is higher	317
B. Multiple correspondence analysis and specific sub-samples	317
C. Logistic regression on ‘spousal care’, ‘daughter care’ and ‘son care’	320
1. Preliminary verifications	320
2. Binary logistic regression analysis	321

D. Hypothesis to test: hierarchical compensation within the family	323
Section 4. What determines the care intensity?	325
A. Description	326
1. More than a third of the 'at risk' elderly have ever received ADL care	326
2. ADL care can be assumed equally by one or more care-givers	328
B. Multiple correspondence analysis	328
C. Logistic regression on 'care-givers number' and 'family care activities'	331
1. Preliminary verifications	331
2. Multinomial logistic regression	332
D. Hypothesis to test: needs intensity	335
Conclusion	336
Chapter X: How does the received family care evolve over time?	339
Section 1. Studying family care evolution	340
A. Why to study family care evolution?	340
B. How to study evolution with the study PSBH file	341
1. Discrete-time event-history analysis	341
2. Sequence analysis	342
3. Transition analysis	345
C. Which transitions to analyse	347
Section 2. Family care: start, stop or again?	349
A. Localisation in the sample scheme	349
B. Description: creation and distribution of the variable, frequency of the family care spell(s) sequences	350
C. GLMM regression on 'care combination between-transition'	352
1. Accounting for the non-independence of the observations	352
2. Applying a generalised linear mixed model with SAS®	353
D. Hypothesis to test: health effect	356
Section 3. Long-term family spell: stability or changes?	357
A. Localisation in the sample scheme	357
B. Description: creation and distribution of the variable, frequency of the family care spell(s) sequences	360
C. GLMM regression on care combination and family care activities 'within-transition'	362
D. Hypothesis to test: the health effect	365
Conclusion	367
General conclusion: What are the main learnings and limitations of the dissertation? What are the implications for future research and social policies?	369
From theory to data analysis: a confrontation	370
To what extent are the findings inferable to the next elderly cohorts?	380
How to better identify family care-givers?	384
Bibliography	398
Appendices	423