Table of contents

Jury composition ..... **i**
Acknowledgments ..... **iii**
Part I: General introduction – Research objectives and background **1**
Chapter 1: Introduction **3**
1.1 Research focus and motivations 3
1.2 Background 4
1.2.1 International context: cycling as a sustainable alternative to car use 4
1.2.2 The Belgian context 7
1.3 General objective 8
1.4 Terminology 11
1.5 Methodological approaches and gaps 13
1.5.1 Overview of current research into bicycle use and cycling accidents 13
1.5.1.1 Research into bicycle use 13
1.5.1.2 Research into cycling accidents and accidents in general 15
1.5.2 Research gaps, challenges and spatial aspects 19
1.5.2.1 Lack of reliable and high-resolution data 20
1.5.2.2 Underreporting of cycling accidents 22
1.5.2.3 Spatial data and attendant effects 23
1.5.2.4 Network phenomena and planar assumption 26
1.5.2.5 Estimation of the accident risk at the network level 27
1.6 Outline of the thesis 28
1.6.1 Outline of part II – Areal data analyses and cycle commuting (Belgium) 30
1.6.2 Outline of part III – Point data analyses along a network space and cycling accidents (Brussels) 31
1.6.3 Outline of part IV – Conclusions and policy recommendations .. 33
Part II: Spatial analysis of commuter cycling **35**
Chapter 2: Bicycle use and the accident risk for commuters **37**
2.1 Introduction 38
2.2 Data sources and studied area 39

2.2.1 Studied area 39
2.2.2 Data 41
2.2.2.1 Population census 41
2.2.2.2 Road accident statistics 42
2.2.2.3 Urban hierarchy 46
2.3 Bicycle use *versus* urban hierarchy 46
2.3.1 Background 46
2.3.2 Exploratory data analysis 48
2.3.2.1 Cycling, urban hierarchy and distances 48
2.3.2.2 Odds ratios 51
2.3.2.3 Exploring inter-municipality differences 52
2.4 Bicycle use and risk 54
2.4.1 Clustering municipalities 54
2.4.2 Analysis of the results 55
2.4.3 Regional and inter-municipality differences 57
2.5 Conclusion 59
Chapter 3: Spatial determinants of cycle commuting 63
3.1 Introduction 64
3.2 Identifying the main determinants of bicycle use 65
3.2.1 Demographic and socio-economic determinants 65
3.2.2 Cultural and societal determinants 66
3.2.3 Environmental determinants 67
3.2.4 Policy-related determinants 68
3.3 Objectives and data 71
3.3.1 Demographic and socio-economic variables 71
3.3.2 Environmental and policy-related factors 73
3.3.3 Limitations 75
3.4 Methodology 75
3.4.1 Ordinary least squares model 76
3.4.2 Spatial autoregressive modelling 76
3.4.3 Diagnostics for spatial autocorrelation 77
3.4.4 Diagnostics for heteroskedasticity in presence of spatial autocorrelation 78
3.4.5 Spatial heterogeneity and regimes 79
3.4.6 Diagnostics for structural instability 80
3.5 Results and discussion 81
3.5.1 Basic statistics and bivariate correlations 83
3.5.2 OLS results 83
3.5.3 Choice of the spatial weight matrix 86
3.5.4 Spatial lag results 86
3.5.5 Accounting for spatial heterogeneity 89

3.5.5.1 Diagnostics: Chow tests and exploratory spatial data analyses 89
3.5.5.2 Spatial regime regression with a spatially lagged variable 90
3.5.5.3 Regional variation and the relative importance of the variables 91
3.5.5.4 Spatially lagged variable 96
3.5.5.5 Analysis of the residuals 97
3.5.6 Controlling for short commuting distances and spatial interactions 99
3.5.6.1 Effect of short commuting trips (≤ 10 km) 99
3.5.6.2 Effect of spatial interactions 99
3.6 Conclusion 100
Part III: Spatial analysis of accident risks for cyclists (Brussels-Capital Region) 103
Chapter 4: Reported versus unreported cycling accidents 105
4.1 Introduction 106
4.2 Spatial context: the case of the Brussels-Capital Region 108
4.2.1 Diagnosis: mobility and cycling levels in Brussels 108
4.2.2 Why Brussels? 109
4.2.3 Spatial subareas 110
4.3 Data collection 111
4.3.1 Construction of the 'bikeable' network 111
4.3.2 Accident geocoding 112
4.3.2.1 Reported cycling accidents (DGSEI data) and the under-registration issue 112
4.3.2.2 'Unreported' cycling accidents (SHAPES survey) .. 112
4.3.2.3 Accident geocoding process 113
4.3.3 Infrastructure factors 114
4.3.3.1 Bridges and tunnels 115
4.3.3.2 Traffic-calming areas 115
4.3.3.3 Intersections (crossroads) 116
4.3.3.4 Tram tracks and public transport stops 117
4.3.3.5 Cycle facilities and discontinuities in the bicycle network 118
4.3.3.6 Parking facilities (motorised vehicles) 120
4.3.3.7 Contraflow cycling 121
4.3.3.8 Urban facilities and public services 122
4.3.4 Data limitations 122
4.4 Methodology 124

4.4.1 Comparative statistics and odds ratios 124
4.4.2 Point pattern analyses in traffic-accident research 125
4.4.2.1 Initial point pattern exploration 126
4.4.2.2 Univariate and bivariate K-function analyses 128
4.5 Results and discussion 133
4.5.1 Comparative statistics and odds ratios 133
4.5.2 Initial point pattern exploration 135
4.5.3 Network K-functions and cross K-functions 141
4.6 Conclusions 148
Chapter 5: Accident risk when cycling in Brussels 151
5.1 Introduction 152
5.2 Conceptual and methodological framework 154
5.2.1 Pre-requisites 155
5.2.2 From ecology and epidemiology… 156
5.2.2.1 Presence-only data 156
5.2.2.2 Case-control studies 157
5.2.3 … to traffic accident research 158
5.2.3.1 Exposure variable 159
5.2.3.2 Selection of controls 160
5.2.4 Modelling strategy 161
5.2.4.1 Bayes rule 161
5.2.4.2 Bayesian hierarchical modelling and accident risk model 161
5.2.4.3 Autoregressive and autologistic risk models 163
5.2.4.4 Initial values and model selection 166
5.2.4.5 Convergence diagnostics (Bayesian framework) 169
5.3 Data collection 171
5.3.1 Accident data 173
5.3.1.1 Accident geocoding ($y_i = 1$) 173
5.3.1.2 PBTI and generation of controls ($y_i = 0$) 173
5.3.2 Risk factors 177
5.3.2.1 Infrastructure factors 178
5.3.2.2 Traffic conditions 182
5.3.2.3 Environmental risk factors 184
5.3.2.4 Interaction variables and intersect analyses 185
5.3.2.5 Ignored risk factors 185
5.4 Results 186
5.4.1 Bivariate associations 186
5.4.2 Model diagnostics and selection 187
5.4.2.1 Accident risk modelling 187

5.4.2.2 Autologistic and autoregressive accident risk modelling 188
5.4.3 Discussion of the results of the autologistic model 188
5.4.3.1 Infrastructure-related risk factors 192
5.4.3.2 Traffic conditions 195
5.4.4 Predictions of the risk for a specific road trajectory: a tool for planners? 196
5.5 Conclusion 200
Part IV: General conclusions and policy implications 205
Chapter 6: Conclusion 207
6.1 Main findings 209
6.1.1 Methodological conclusions 209
6.1.2 Empirical conclusions 215
6.2 Policy implications and recommendations 222
6.2.1 Engineering 223
6.2.2 Education 227
6.2.3 Enforcement 227
6.2.4 Encouragement 228
6.2.5 Evaluation 228
6.2.6 Concluding remarks 229
6.3 Limitations of this thesis 235
6.3.1 Data limitations 235
6.3.2 Methodological and technical issues 236
6.4 Perspectives for future research 239
6.5 Concluding words 244
Appendix A: Notes to Chapter 2 247
Appendix A.1: The urban hierarchy of Belgian municipalities 247
Appendix B: Notes to Chapter 3 249
Appendix B.1: Variables used: description, units of measurement and data sources 249
Appendix B.2: Regression coefficients for the spatial regime specification 252
Appendix B.3: Impact of spatial interactions 253
Appendix C: Notes to Chapter 4 257
Appendix C.1: Infrastructure factors – Description and data sources 257
Appendix C.2: Blackspots of cycling accidents in the Pentagon 260
Appendix D: Notes to Chapter 5 261
Appendix D.1: List of risk factors 261
Appendix D.2: Descriptive statistics of the selected risk factors 267
Appendix D.3: Descriptive statistics for the continuous risk factors 271

Appendix D.4: Logistic model – Results from the frequentist framework 274
Appendix D.5: Logistic model – Model fit and evaluation, diagnostics and inferential tests....275
Appendix D.6: Convergence diagnostics for the autologistic model 276
Appendix E: Publications and personal contribution 279
Appendix E.1: Approximate % of the time budget devoted to each task and chapter 280
Bibliography....283
Data sources and on-line resources....313
List of figures....315
List of tables....317